G. R. S. 58]

*Previous references.*

CS 261-12 (1-3) B.
Inwzai 141-09 (1-5) F.

File No. 304-13

Serial Nos. 1-2

1913.14

( CS )

*Later references.*

CS 141-14 (1-3) B.
CS 215-15 (1-2)
CS 104-20 (1-2)

preserve

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

---

*Serial Nos.* 1-2

**Part B for** Sep 14

---

SUBJECT.

Exemption of Sri Medhamidhit swamigalu of the Sreepadaraya mutt, mulbagal Taluk from personal appearance in courts of Law.

---

1. From the D. C. Kolar Dt 201 of 4/2/14.
Forwards for orders an application praying for exemption.

2. To the Deputy Commr Kolar 619 of 2/9/14.
states that there are not sufficient grounds for granting the exemption

K. Ghose
15. 10. 14

GPB—5,000—22-10-13.

2443

G.R.S. 11] 1913-14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Courts)

NOTES.

File No. 304 of 1913

FILE HEADING.

Exemption of Sri Mathadhipathi Swamigalu of the Sreepadaraya Mutt, Mulbagal Taluk, from personal appearance in courts of law.

From

The Deputy Comr., Kolar Dist.

No. 201 Dated 4.2.1914 Received 6.2.1914

| Diary No. T2443 | Enclos. 2 Spare Copies. | Serial No. 1 |
|---|---|---|

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards for the orders of Govt an application from the Swamigalu praying for exemption from personal appearance in courts of law.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

W

In the petition it is stated that the Mutt has been in the enjoyment of the privilege of exemption and what is now prayed for is only a formal recognition of the privilege. The Amildar considers the Mutt deserving of the privilege.

S

Enclosure No. 1

NOTES—First Sheet.

P.T.O.

GPB—35,000—15-6-12

2443

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | cf. File No. 304 of 1913-14

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| cf. 26/9/1912 which deals with the grant of exemption to the Sivaami Jalu of the Nanjangud Mall is putup. | 2) If approved, Br VII will be consulted also whether the status of the Mutt warrants the grant of the privilege prayed for. |
| | 3) A draft notification is however putup. |
| | Kg. 116 8/8/1914 VIII 4) Can any persons Swamigalu of this mutt be exempted from personal appearance in civil courts by Govt Notfn? |
| | Es. 8/8/14 |
| | Subd. |
| | 5) No Swamigalu of this Mutt seems to have been so exempted: (For list of privileged persons please see page 93 & 94 of the Civil Digest put up herewith) |
| | Kg. 116 8/8/1914 VIII 6) Yes. The file may be sent to Branch VII for opinion with reference to 2. |
| | Es 10/8 |
| Misdept. 3 9/8/14-15 | 7) The Sripada raja Swami matha is an old important matha at Mulbagal, though the matha does not enjoy any Sanad from Govt. than to depend upon Rs 2000 or so of income from its Inam villages & Kanikes from its disciples. Most of the Madhwa persons |

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G P B—10,000—13-6-14

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C5 File No. 304 of 1913-14

Serial No.

8) The matha was actually in enjoyment of a tasdik of 75 varahas and 600 seers of rice per mensem, but as it did not press its claim for this at the time of the Inam settlement, the tasdik and the allowance ceased; and the matha's prayer for the revival of the same was refused on the ground of the claim having been put forward 90 years after the allowances ceased (Mdu. Secy. No. 1947/M.7. 141-09-5 dt. 3. 2. 10).

VK 7

Revd

9) Owing to the pretty large following the matha has in the Kolar District & other places, Govt. have in their order No. 756-66/M.7. 128-09-1 dt. 13 Sept. 1909 recognised it as one of the important mathas in the State & sanctioned the grant of Rs. 50/- daily Bhiksha

Better correct. I thought that it had not many disciples.

Did

Rev.
It is understood that among the disciples of this matha there are gentlemen occupying high positions not only in this State & elsewhere. The matha has sufficient to spare Rs. 50 of its sales here, but much more in the Madras Presidency. Kolar & Bangalore shops have more sales than Mulbagal shops in this State.

RG

of Rs. 50/-

10) The present High Priest of the matha Srimad Ramudhi Swamigalavaru is reported to be a man of learning & devotion. - Submitted under Sec. VII

RG

11) 11. 8. 14 Put up a list of Mahadhipathis who have been so exempted

11. 8. 14

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G P B—10,000—13-6-14

2413

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 304 of 1913-14

Serial No.

XFLN

12) A typed copy of the list of Mathadhipatis who have been granted this privilege is submd.

SG
11.8.14

13) I think that Sri Pattarayaswamy Mayam placed on a par with Sri Krishnaswamy of Mulbagal Mutt. Item 10 in the list. The exemption prayed for may be granted.

Lee
10 Bn. III 11-8-14

14) Draft notification granting exemption is in the file.

Mg. 116
11/8/14 MK

15) Submd for orders.

SG
12/8/14

~~Secy~~ Dist. Committee)

16) The (8th day) Bhikshe allee cannot settle this question. Why was this Matha without exemption till now. There seem to be no sufficient grounds.

H
12/8/14 Dewan 13/8

17) Put up draft.

EG 13/8

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPR—10,000—13-6-14

NG 14/8

2443

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 304 of 1913-16

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| | (18) Draft subd. |
| | Mg. - 116 (9 May/approved |
| | 20/8/1914 NR. |
| | First Member) |
| | Draft appd: by 1st Member. |
| | NR. 22.8.14. |
| | To Issue |
| | 27/8 |
| 2 | To, The Deputy Comr., Kolar. |
| | No. J 619, D 2-9-14. |
| | States that Govt. Consider that there are not sufficient grounds, for granting the exemption. |

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—10,000—13-6-14

III/62/37 dt 5/6/37 1

From 191

P. F. BOWRING ESQUIRE., J. P.,
*Deputy Commissioner,*
*Kolar District.*

To 7/1939

The Secretary to the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore General & Revenue Department. Bangalore

Dated

Despatched 4th February 14.

Received

General No. 201
No.

Enclosure No. 1

Subject

Submitting for orders an application of the Swami of the Sripadaraya Mutt Mulbagal, in the matter of exemption from personal appearance in Civil Courts of the State.

GPB—50,000—8-1-13

2

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
KOLAR DISTRICT,

*Dated Camp* *the* 3 — 1 — 1914.

J 2443




FROM

P. F. BOWRING, ESQUIRE., J. P.,
*Deputy Commissioner,*
*Kolar District.*

To K. R. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esquire, M.A
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore. General & Revenue
Departments. Bangalore.

SIR,

I have the honor to submit herewith for the orders of Government an application addressed to Government by the Swami of the Sripadarayamutt, Mulbagal, requesting that he may be exempted from personal appearance in Civil Courts of the State.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant,

P F Bowring

District Magistrate
&
Kolar District

Encl to C.S. 304-13-1 (3)

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District
Kolar.

Through the Asstdar
Mulbagal Taluk
Mulbagal

Respected Sir,

I, H. Krishnamurthyachar
agent, Sampadarasa Mill, respectfully
request that you will be so good
as to forward the annexed petition
to Government with your favorable
opinion

I beg to remain
Sir,
your most obedient servant.
H. KRISHNAMURTHY

9738
Urgent
26.1.14
Mulbagal
Rw

22.1.14

No 132/Encl

Submitted to the Commissioner.
This is a very ancient time honoured
Watt deserving of the exemption prayed
for. 22nd Jany 1914. M. Ramachandra Rao
Dy. Comr



To

The Government of His Highness
the Maharajah of Mysore

The petition of Sri Madhandhi
Swamigalu, Sampadaraya mutt,
Melbagal, Kolar Distt, most respectfully
sheweth.

(1) That this mutt has been from
time immemorial in an unbroken
enjoyment of the privilege of
exemption from attendance in
courts of law

(2) It is most respectfully prayed that
the Government might be graciously
pleased to accord to the said
privilege a formal recognition as
has been the case with other mutts
in this State. ಶ್ರೀಮಠಾಧಿಪತಿಗಳವರು

22-1-14

28/9

5

G. R. S. 26]

File No. 304 of 1913

Serial No. 2

*Diary No. of letter replied to*_____

No. J 619

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

*, the* *191* .

To

The Deputy Commr.,

Kdan Dist.

Date of issue 2-9-14.

Signed by Rao G. Srinivasa Iyer.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No 201 dated the 30th Feb 1914, submitting an application from the Swamigalu of the Sreepadaraya Mutt, Mulbagal, for exemption from personal appearance in Civil Courts of the State, I am directed to state that the said Swamigalu cannot claim that sufficient grounds do not exist for

this are not

over.

SUBJECT.

Read

1/9/14